| 1 | | |
|--|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 789 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA | |
| 10 11 12 | SHERYL J MARTIN, Petitioner, v. | CASE NO. 3:15-CV-05766-BHS-DWC REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION |
| 13 | DAVID FLYNN, | Noting Date: December 4, 2015 |
| 14 | Respondent. | |
| 15 | The District Court has referred this action, filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, to United States | |
| 16 | Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. Presently pending before the Court is Petitioner's Motion to | |
| 17 | Proceed In Forma Pauperis (IFP). Dkt. 1, 3. | |
| 18 | The right to proceed IFP is not absolute. O'Loughlin v. Doe, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. | |
| 19 | 1990). Proceeding IFP is a matter within the sound discretion of the trial court in civil actions. | |
| 20 21 | Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th Cir. 1963). Petitioner submitted her prison trust | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$ | account statement showing a spendable balance of \$142.18. Dkt. 3, p. 3. Petitioner can afford to | |
| 23 | pay the \$5.00 filing fee. Accordingly, the Court re- | commends denying the Motion to Proceed IFP. |
| 24 | | |

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on December 4, 2015, as noted in the caption. Dated this 16th day of November, 2015. United States Magistrate Judge